

FILED  
AHCA  
AGENCY CLERK

STATE OF FLORIDA  
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION

2020 JUN -9 A 11: 22

STATE OF FLORIDA, AGENCY FOR  
HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION,

Petitioner,

v.

HOUR BLISS, INC.,

Respondent.

DOAH CASE NO. 19-6584MPI

MPI CASE ID: 2018-0013484

PROVIDER NO. 017421300

RENDITION NO.: AHCA-20 - 415 -FOF-MDO

**FINAL ORDER**

This case was referred to the Division of Administrative Hearings (“DOAH”) where the assigned Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”), Mary Li Creasy, issued a Recommended Order after conducting a formal hearing. At issue in this proceeding is whether the Agency for Health Care Administration (“Agency”) overpaid Respondent for the provision of behavior analysis services, and, if so, what is the Medicaid overpayment amount Respondent owes to the Agency; and whether the Agency should impose a fine and costs on Respondent. The Recommended Order dated April 27, 2020, is attached to this Final Order and incorporated herein by reference, except where noted infra.

**RULING ON EXCEPTIONS**

Respondent filed exceptions to the Recommended Order. In determining how to rule upon Respondent’s exceptions and whether to adopt the ALJ’s Recommended Order in whole or in part, the Agency must follow section 120.57(1)(l), Florida Statutes, which provides in pertinent part:

The agency may adopt the recommended order as the final order of the agency. The agency in its final order may reject or modify the conclusions of law over which it has substantive jurisdiction and interpretation of administrative rules over which it has substantive

jurisdiction. When rejecting or modifying such conclusion of law or interpretation of administrative rule, the agency must state with particularity its reasons for rejecting or modifying such conclusion of law or interpretation of administrative rule and must make a finding that its substituted conclusion of law or interpretation of administrative rule is as or more reasonable than that which was rejected or modified. Rejection or modification of conclusions of law may not form the basis for rejection or modification of findings of fact. The agency may not reject or modify the findings of fact unless the agency first determines from a review of the entire record, and states with particularity in the order, that the findings of fact were not based upon competent substantial evidence or that the proceedings on which the findings were based did not comply with essential requirements of law. . . .

§ 120.57(1)(l), Fla. Stat. Additionally, “[t]he final order shall include an explicit ruling on each exception, but an agency need not rule on an exception that does not clearly identify the disputed portion of the recommended order by page number or paragraph, that does not identify the legal basis for the exception, or that does not include appropriate and specific citations to the record.”

§ 120.57(1)(k), Fla. Stat. In accordance with these legal standards, the Agency makes the following rulings on Respondent’s exceptions:

In its only exception, Respondent takes exception to Paragraph 125 of the Recommended Order, arguing the ALJ overlooked the fact the audit was conducted “in defiance of law.” In making this exception, Respondent does not cite to any part of the Record in support of its argument. Additionally, Respondent did not take exception to any of the findings of fact in the Recommended Order, which all support the ALJ’s conclusion of law in Paragraph 125. These findings of fact are all supported by competent, substantial record evidence. See Transcript, Pages 35-60, 62-166; Petitioner’s Exhibits 3-11. The Agency finds that, while it has substantive jurisdiction over the conclusions of law in Paragraph 125 of the Recommended Order because it is the single state agency in charge of administering Florida’s Medicaid program, the ALJ’s

conclusions of law are reasonable and should not be disturbed. Therefore, the Agency denies Respondent's exception to Paragraph 125 of the Recommended Order.

**FINDINGS OF FACT**

The Agency adopts the findings of fact set forth in the Recommended Order.

**CONCLUSIONS OF LAW**


The Agency adopts the conclusions of law set forth in the Recommended Order.

**IT IS THEREFORE ADJUDGED THAT:**

Respondent is hereby required to repay the Agency \$237,802.50 in overpayments, plus interest at a rate of ten (10) percent per annum as required by Section 409.913(25)(c), Florida Statutes, to the Agency. Additionally, the Agency hereby imposes a fine of \$2,500.00 on Respondent. Respondent shall make full payment of the overpayment and fine to the Agency for Health Care Administration within 30 days of the rendition date of this Final Order unless other payment arrangements have been agreed to by the parties. Respondent shall pay by check payable to the Agency for Health Care Administration and mailed to the Agency for Health Care Administration, Office of Finance and Accounting, 2727 Mahan Drive, Mail Stop 14, Tallahassee, Florida 32308.

Additionally, since the Agency has prevailed in this matter, it is entitled to recover the investigative, legal and expert witness costs it incurred in this matter. § 409.913(23), F.S. The parties shall attempt to agree to amount of investigative, legal, and expert witness costs for this matter. If the parties are unable to reach such agreement, either party may file a request for hearing with the Division of Administrative Hearings under this case style within 30 days of the date of rendition of this Final Order, and the Administrative Law Judge who presided over this matter shall determine the amount of such costs.

DONE and ORDERED this 8 day of June, 2020, in Tallahassee,  
Florida.


  
MARY C. MAYHEW, SECRETARY  
AGENCY FOR HEALTH CARE ADMINISTRATION

**NOTICE OF RIGHT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW**

A PARTY WHO IS ADVERSELY AFFECTED BY THIS FINAL ORDER IS ENTITLED TO JUDICIAL REVIEW, WHICH SHALL BE INSTITUTED BY FILING THE ORIGINAL NOTICE OF APPEAL WITH THE AGENCY CLERK OF AHCA, AND A COPY ALONG WITH THE FILING FEE PRESCRIBED BY LAW WITH THE DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL IN THE APPELLATE DISTRICT WHERE THE AGENCY MAINTAINS ITS HEADQUARTERS OR WHERE A PARTY RESIDES. REVIEW PROCEEDINGS SHALL BE CONDUCTED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE FLORIDA APPELLATE RULES. THE NOTICE OF APPEAL MUST BE FILED WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE RENDITION OF THE ORDER TO BE REVIEWED.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Final Order has been furnished to the persons named below by the method designated on this 9<sup>th</sup> day of June, 2020.

  
RICHARD J. SHOOP, Agency Clerk  
Agency for Health Care Administration  
2727 Mahan Drive, MS #3  
Tallahassee, Florida 32308  
(850) 412-3630

COPIES FURNISHED TO:

Honorable Mary Li Creasy  
Administrative Law Judge  
Division of Administrative Hearings  
The DeSoto Building  
1230 Apalachee Parkway  
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-3060  
(via electronic filing)

Susan Sapoznikoff, Esquire  
Kimberly Murray, Esquire  
Assistant General Counsels  
(via electronic mail)

Juan C. Perez-Delgado  
Hour Bliss, Inc.  
888 Brickell Key Drive, Apartment 406  
Miami, Florida 33131  
(via electronic mail to [contact@hourblissinc.com](mailto:contact@hourblissinc.com))

Medicaid Program Integrity  
Office of the Inspector General  
(via electronic mail)

Medicaid Accounts Receivable  
Finance & Accounting  
(via electronic mail)